| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|--|---|---|--|
| A. Program Information Program Mission Statement | | | |
| How many criteria are met for the Program Mission statement? | Addresses all 8 criteria - Meets the Standard | Addresses all 8 criteria - Meets the Standard | Addresses 4 to 7 of the criteria – Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard |
| Reader Feedback | | | The program mission conveys the values of the program and its purpose to empower students through understanding and use of language. It could go a lot further to articulate a broader scope and connect to the mission of the college. As a reader, I'm not sure I get a sense of the community of the English program - who its students and stakeholders are, and the activities of this community within the institution as a whole. If I knew nothing about the department, I would have no idea from this mission statement of its work with the learning communities, the honors program, the Human Library, the heritage months, language arts tutoring (TLC and Pass the Torch), student voice/publications, or equity |

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | work in general. I'm not sure I would know that the department supports almost every single student at the college as they work toward their certificate, degrees, or transfer, or how the program prepares/supports English majors in particular. |
| Program Learning Outcomes | Addresses all 5 criteria - Meets the Standard | Exceeds expectations for all 5 criteria - Excellent | Addresses 3 to 4 of the criteria – Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard |
| Reader Feedback | | | The first PLO reads more like an SLO for a course than it does a program level outcome. Both seem too narrow in scope, in particular for the English degrees. What are the higher order conceptual and performance outcomes for the English degree - the knowledge, skills, and behaviors gained as a result of the program as a whole? |
| B. FTES - Enrollment Trends | | | |
| 1. What does the FTES data trend indicate? | FTES has decreased over the time span by 11% to 30%- Needs | FTES has decreased over the time span by 11% to 30%- Needs | FTES has decreased over the time span by 11% to 30%- Needs |

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Some Improvement to Meet the Standard | Some Improvement to Meet the Stand | Some Improvement to Meet the Standard |
| _ | Discuss the factors that would help the increase or decrease in the trend. | college understand these trends ar | nd whether there are tangible |
| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes 3 of the criteria - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard | The narrative includes all 4 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative includes all 4 of the criteria - Meets the Standard |
| FTES Action Narrative (if appli | cable) - Describe the proposed actions | for stabilizing/increasing the FTES. | |
| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes all 5 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative includes all 5 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative includes all 5 of the criteria - Meets the Standard |
| Reader Feedback | | Outcomes of professional development and/or programmatic or curricular changes could be detailed. | Focusing just on increasing FTES, consider activities that focus on outreach. For example, what can the department do to outreach to prospective English majors? What can/does the department do to encourage enrollment in literature and creative writing courses for nonmajors? |

C. Sections - Enrollment Trends

Section Narrative Explanation (If Applicable) - *Explain why the number of sections is flat, increased or decreased.*

| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes 3 of the | The narrative includes all 4 of | The narrative includes 3 of the |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | criteria - Needs Some | the criteria - Meets the Standard | criteria - Needs Some |
| | Improvement to Meet the | | Improvement to Meet the |

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | Standard | | Standard |
| Section Narrative Explanation | (If Applicable) - Explain why the num | ber of sections increased while FTES | decreased. |
| Overall, in this section: | | The narrative includes all 3 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative is not included |
| Reader Feedback | | | For AB705, the program review might explain a bit further how this led to a decrease in sections, drawing from some of the points you make in the previous narrative for FTES - throughput in one quarter, the LCs moving to the 1A+coreq rather than 1ST. (This helps connect the data on sections with the data above on FTES.) Any other factors that would have decreased sections? For example, the stacked honors/non-honors sections? The strategic approach to the lit grid? These are things the department did that helped with enrollment and productivity. (This helps connect the data on sections with the data below on productivity.) |

D. Productivity - Enrollment Trends

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|---|---|--|---|
| 1. What does the data indicate about the productivity trend? | The program productivity trend has increased or has reached its maximum - Excellent | The program productivity trend has increased or has reached its maximum - Excellent | The program productivity trend has increased or has reached its maximum - Excellent |
| Productivity Narrative Explanati | on (If Applicable) - <i>Explain why the</i> µ | productivity is flat, increased or dec | reased. |
| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes all 3 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative exceeds expectations – the narrative could be used as an exemplar - Excellent | The narrative includes all 3 of the criteria - Meets the Standard |
| Productivity Action Narrative (If | Applicable) - Describe the proposed | actions for stabilizing/increasing t | he productivity number. |
| Overall, in this section: | | The narrative includes all 5 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative is not included |
| Reader Feedback | | | |
| E. Enrollment by Student Demo | graphics | | |
| a. Enrollment by Gender | | | |
| Enrollment by Gender Narrative binary. | Explanation - Explain why the enrol | lment rates is flat, increased or dec | rease for male, female, or non- |
| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes all 3 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative exceeds expectations – the narrative could be used as an exemplar - Excellent | The narrative includes all 3 of the criteria - Meets the Standard |
| 2. Does your program differ in the percentage of males to females, in this most recent year, compared to the | The difference between the genders/sex is less than or equal 10% - Excellent | The difference between the genders/sex is less than or equal 10% - Excellent | The difference between the genders/sex is less than or equal 10% - Excellent |

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|---|--|--|--|
| College? (College 2019-20 = 51% | | | |
| Female, 47% Male) | | | |
| Enrollment by Gender Action Na program taking to achieve parit | nrative (If Applicable) - <i>What is the</i> y? | source of gender disparity and who | at proposed/planned actions is th |
| Overall, in this section: | | | The narrative is not included |
| Reader Feedback | | | |
| 3. What does the data trend indicate about enrollment (headcount) by gender of declared majors in the program? Reader Feedback | The Gender gap by declared major is greater than 30% - Needs Major Improvement to Meet the Standard | The Gender gap by declared major is between 0% and 10% - Excellent | The Gender gap by declared major is greater than 30% - Needs Major Improvement to Meet the Standard |
| b. Enrollment by Ethnicity | | | |
| Does your program differ in enrollment distribution among ethnic groups, in this most recent year, compared to the College enrollment by ethnic group? (2019-20 College enrollment distribution by ethnicity: 4% African American, 38% Asian, 5% Filipinx, 25% Latinx, 0% Native | The enrollment mirrors the college's ethnic distribution or the program has explained why the distribution is appropriate - Meets the Standard | The enrollment mirrors the college's ethnic distribution or the program has explained why the distribution is appropriate - Meets the Standard | The enrollment mirrors the college's ethnic distribution or the program has explained why the distribution is appropriate - Meets the Standard |

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|---|---|---|--|
| American, 1% Pacific Islander, | | | |
| 21% White, 4% Decline to | | | |
| State) | | | |
| Enrollment by Ethnicity Narrativ | e Explanation (If Applicable) - Explai | in changes identified over the past | five years for each ethnic group |
| (address each ethnic group by b | ullet point). | | |
| Overall, in this section: | | | The narrative is not included |
| Enrollment by Ethnicity Action N group within the program. | Narrative (If Applicable) - <i>Describe th</i> | e proposed actions for addressing o | disparities in enrollment by ethnic |
| Overall, in this section: | | | The narrative is not included |
| F. Student Course Success | | | |
| a. Student Course Success | | | |
| 1. What does the data trend | Course success has been flat or | Course success has decreased | Course success has been flat or |
| indicate about overall course | decreased over the time span by | over the time span by more than | decreased over the time span by |
| success? | no more than 2 percentage point | 4 percentage points - Needs | no more than 2 percentage point |
| | Meets the Standard | Major Improvement to Meet the Standard | Meets the Standard |
| | ve Explanation - <i>If the data trend sho</i> ammatic factors led to such a trend. | ws an increase, decrease, or no cho | ange in students' course success |
| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes all 3 of | The narrative exceeds | The narrative includes all 3 of the |
| | the criteria - Meets the Standard | expectations – the narrative could be used as an exemplar - Excellent | criteria - Meets the Standard |

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes all 5 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative exceeds expectations - the narrative could be used as an exemplar - Excellent | The narrative includes all 5 of the criteria - Meets the Standard |
| Reader Feedback | Would be helpful to have more info about how the actions will address the trend (e.g., how will "teaching Tuesday" discussions lead to improvements in student course success? | I would suggest including the tutoring staff and faculty in the expansion of tutoring along with Guided Pathways. | See below for comments. |
| b. Student Course Success by Stu | ident Groups | | |
| 3. Is there a course success gap between African-American, Latinx, Filipinx student groups and Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, Decline to State student groups? | The gap between the two groups has increased over the time span – Needs Major Improvement to Meet the Standard | The gap between the two groups has not changed over the time span – Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard | The gap between the two groups has increased over the time span – Needs Major Improvement to Meet the Standard |
| Course Success by Student Group | ps Narrative Explanation - Explain w | hy the course success gap is flat, in | creased or decreased. |
| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes 2 of the criteria - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard | The narrative exceeds expectations – the narrative could be used as an exemplar - Excellent | The narrative includes 2 of the criteria - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard |
| - | ps Action Narrative (If Applicable) - African-American, Latinx, and Filipin ent groups? | | |
| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes all 5 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative exceeds expectations - the narrative | The narrative includes all 5 of the criteria - Meets the Standard |

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | | could be used as an exemplar - Excellent | |
| Reader Feedback | would be helpful to have more information about how some of the proposed solutions (e.g., surveys, wraparound support) will help decrease the equity gap. | | What might be some potential actions that could be taken, or explored, by the department based on the results of the surveys? For example, increased collaboration with tutoring? Increased adoption of OER? This could help clarify how the surveys will inform activities within department control. What are some potential actions within department control to address the gap for online modality? E.g., professional development? participation in POCR? |
| | | | Consider reiterating an earlier point made about AB705 - that it has increased access and throughput, but hasn't necessarily closed the DI gap. What next steps could the department undertake for AB705 from that perspective? |

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee | Katie Ha | Valerie Fong |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | (At-Large Classified Staff) | (Same Division Faculty) | (Dean) |
| G. Student Course Success by D | emographics | | |
| a. Student Course Success by G | ender | | |
| What does the data indicate about course success? | | | |
| Female | Course success has been flat or | Course success has been flat or | Course success has been flat or |
| | decreased over the time span by | decreased over the time span by | decreased over the time span by |
| | no more than 2 percentage point | no more than 2 percentage point | no more than 2 percentage point |
| | – Meets the Standard | – Meets the Standard | – Meets the Standard |
| Male | Course success has been flat or | Course success has been flat or | Course success has been flat or |
| | decreased over the time span by | decreased over the time span by | decreased over the time span by |
| | no more than 2 percentage point | no more than 2 percentage point | no more than 2 percentage point |
| | – Meets the Standard | – Meets the Standard | – Meets the Standard |
| Non-binary | Course success has been flat or | Course success has been flat or | Course success has been flat or |
| | decreased over the time span by | decreased over the time span by | decreased over the time span by |
| | no more than 2 percentage point | no more than 2 percentage point | no more than 2 percentage point |
| | – Meets the Standard | – Meets the Standard | – Meets the Standard |

Course Success by Gender Narrative Explanation - If the data trend shows an increase, decrease, or no change/flat in the male, female, or non-binary student course success percentages, explain why the percentage is flat, increased or decreased.

| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes fewer | The narrative includes all 3 of | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | than 2 of the criteria - Needs | the criteria - Meets the Standard | |
| | Major Improvement to Meet the | | |
| | Standard | | |
| | | | |

Course Success by Gender Action Narrative (If Applicable) - Describe proposed actions to stabilize/increase the course success rates for either male, female, or non-binary.

| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes 4 of the | The narrative is not included | The narrative is not included |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | criteria - Needs Some | | |

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|--|---|---|---|
| | Improvement to Meet the Standard | | |
| Reader Feedback | | | |
| b. Student Course Success by Eth | nicity | | |
| What does the data trend indicate about program student course success by ethnicity? | | | |
| African Americans | Course success has decreased over the time span by no more than 4 percentage points - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard | | Course success has decreased over the time span by no more than 4 percentage points - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard |
| Asian | Course success has improved over the time span - Excellent | Course success has improved over the time span - Excellent | Course success has been flat or decreased over the time span by no more than 2 percentage point – Meets the Standard |
| Filipinx | Course success has decreased over the time span by more than 4 percentage points - Needs Major Improvement to Meet the Standard | Course success has decreased over the time span by no more than 4 percentage points - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard | Course success has decreased over the time span by more than 4 percentage points - Needs Major Improvement to Meet the Standard |
| Latinx | Course success has been flat or decreased over the time span by no more than 2 percentage point – Meets the Standard | Course success has decreased over the time span by no more than 4 percentage points - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard | Course success has been flat or decreased over the time span by no more than 2 percentage point – Meets the Standard |

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
| Native American | Course success has improved over the time span - Excellent | Course success has improved over the time span - Excellent | Course success has improved over the time span - Excellent |
| Pacific Islander | Course success has improved over the time span - Excellent | Course success has decreased over the time span by no more than 4 percentage points - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard | Course success has improved over the time span - Excellent |
| White | Course success has improved over the time span - Excellent | Course success has improved over the time span - Excellent | Course success has improved over the time span - Excellent |
| Decline to State | Course success has decreased over the time span by more than 4 percentage points - Needs Major Improvement to Meet the Standard | Course success has been flat or decreased over the time span by no more than 2 percentage point – Meets the Standard | Course success has decreased over the time span by more than 4 percentage points - Needs Major Improvement to Meet the Standard |
| | thnicity Narrative Explanation (If Applic , explain why the percentage decreased | | |
| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes 2 of the criteria - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard | The narrative includes all 3 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative includes 2 of the criteria - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard |
| Student Course Success by E | thnicity Narrative Explanation (If Applic | able) - Describe the reasons for the | gap in course success. |
| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes 2 of the criteria - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard | The narrative includes all 3 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative includes 2 of the criteria - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard |

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|---|--|---|--|
| Student Course Success by E success by ethnicity. | thnicity Action Narrative (If Applicable) | - Describe the proposed actions for | stabilizing/improving the course |
| Overall, in this section: | The narrative includes all 5 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative includes all 5 of the criteria - Meets the Standard | The narrative includes all 5 of the criteria - Meets the Standard |
| Reader Feedback | Would be helpful to include more details about how actions for improving course success are anticipated to help BIPOC students' course success. Your assessment of the reasons for declines in BIPOC students' success rates reflect clear reasons and understandings of the trend within larger social and structural contexts. Although the rubric requires reasons within departmental control, which were not explicitly discussed in the narratives provided, I understand that many of the challenges students have been facing are not within departmental control. | The writers have a clear understanding of the data and trends of the department. I wonder if the department has given any thought to how the placement process has been marketed to students and what the department has in mind for interdisciplinary collaboration for writing courses (i.e. if Guided Pathways models could assist in changing the students' perception of English courses in the grand scheme of their pursuits). | See comments above - additional information can help understand the needs; how might this information inform the potential actions within department control? The statistic about online learning is listed first, but no proposed actions for how the department might address that gap. GP work on wrap-around services is relevant. Consider bringing in work on the English Bridge in particular. It could be interesting to consider how work in other areas of GP could impact DI, e.g., how might meta- majors potentially inform curriculum? |

Overall Comments: Thank you for the work you put in to this

| Rubric Evaluation | Katherine Lee (At-Large Classified Staff) | Katie Ha (Same Division Faculty) | Valerie Fong (Dean) |
|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| | | | program review, and let me know if you want to discuss |
| | | | as you finalize and prepare to present to Advisory Council. |