

Foothill College Summer 2020 and Summer 2021 Census Enrollment Comparisons Report

From Summer 2020 and Summer 2021:

- Enrollment
 - Resident student enrollment is down 15%, Non-Resident student enrollment is down 23%.
 - Resident FTES is down 16%, and Non-Resident FTES is down 18%.
 - Overall, student enrollment declined by 1,464, which is a 16% decrease.
 - Overall, estimated FTES decreased by 236, which is a 16% decrease.

**Table I. Census Enrollment Comparisons
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

Groups	2020		2021		Change		% Change	
	Enr	Est FTES	Enr	Est FTES	Enr	Est FTES	Enr	Est FTES
Resident	8,704	1,372	7,406	1,157	-1,298	-215	-15%	-16%
Non-Resident	736	120	570	99	-166	-21	-23%	-18%
Total	9,440	1,492	7,976	1,256	-1,464	-236	-16%	-16%

WSCH estimate based on positive attendance estimated at 100%. | Does not include Apprenticeship.
Census dates are 07/07/2020 and 07/06/2021.
Source: FHDA IRP

- Online Enrollment
 - Online learning estimated FTES is down 33% at 1,078.
 - Online learning headcount decreased from 1,411 to 858 (-39%).
 - Online learning enrollment is down 518 from 1,659 to 1,078.
 - Online learning sections offered decreased by 1 (37 to 36).

**Table 2. Online Learning Census Enrollment
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

	2020	2021	Change	% Change
HC	9,512	7,976	-1,536	-16%
Course Enr	13,852	10,980	-2,872	-21%
Est WSCH	65,045	52,429	-12,616	-19%
Est FTES	1,446	1,165	-281	-19%
Sections	392	362	-30	-8%

WSCH estimate based on census enrollment.
Online enrollment identified by courses ending in "V," "W," "Z."
Source: FHDA IRP

- Instructional Modality
 - Face-to-Face enrollment increased from 459 to 73 (+277) between Summer 2020 and Summer 2021, reflecting an est FTES increase of 34.
 - Online hybrid enrollment increased from 585 to 678 (+93) between Summer 2020 and Summer 2021, reflecting an est FTES increase of 24.
 - Online synchronous enrollment increased from 1,085 to 1,227 (+142) between Summer 2020 and Summer 2021, reflecting an est FTES increase of 49.
 - Online asynchronous enrollment decreased from 12,192 to 9,075 between Summer 2020 and Summer 2021, reflecting an est FTES decrease of 353.

**Table 3. Census Headcount and FTES by Instructional Modality
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

Instructional Modality	2020		2021		Change		% Change	
	Enr	Est FTES	Enr	Est FTES	Enr	Est FTES	Enr	Est FTES
Face-to-Face	459	42	736	76	277	34	60%	81%
Hybrid	48	4	96	14	48	10	100%	250%
Online (OL) Hybrid	585	59	678	83	93	24	16%	41%
OL Asynchronous	12,182	1,334	9,075	981	-3,107	-353	-26%	-26%
OL Synchronous	1,085	52	1,227	101	142	49	13%	94%
OL/On-Campus Hybrid	0	0	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Total	14,359	1,491	11,812	1,255	-2,547	-236	-18%	-16%

Face-to-Face - class meets synchronously on-campus | Hybrid (Y) - class meets asynchronously online & synchronously on-campus
 Online (OL) Hybrid (Z) - class meets asynchronously & synchronously online | OL Asynchronous (W) - class meets asynchronously online |
 OL Synchronous (V) - class meets synchronously online |
 OL/On-Campus Hybrid (T) - class meets synchronously & asynchronously online plus synchronously on-campus |
 Source: FHDA IRP

- Resident Students
 - Headcount among Summer 2021 resident students is 7,209, a decline of 14% from Summer 2020 (8,430).
 - AB540 headcount decreased by 50 from 232 in Summer 2020 to 182 in Summer 2021, reflecting in a 2% decrease in est FTES (Summer 2020: 42; Summer 2021: 32).

**Table 4. Resident Student Headcount and FTES
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

Resident Type	2020		2021		Change		% Change	
	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES
Resident	8,430	1,325	7,209	1,122	-1,221	-203	-14%	-15%
AB540	232	42	182	32	-50	-10	-22%	-24%
AB2364	32	3	3	1	-29	-2	-91%	-67%
VACA Veteran	10	2	12	2	2	0	20%	0%
Total	8,704	1,372	7,406	1,157	-1,298	-215	-15%	-16%

Source: FHDA IRP

- Non-Resident Students
 - Headcount among Summer 2021 non-resident students is 369, a decline of 13% from Summer 2020 (423).
 - The international student headcount declined from 313 in Summer 2020 to 181 in Summer 2021. Additionally, there were 20 non-resident: out of country students in Summer 2021.

**Table 5. Non-Resident Student Headcount and FTES
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

Resident Type	2020		2021		Change		% Change	
	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES
Non-Resident	423	65	369	62	-54	#REF!	-13%	-5%
International	313	54	181	31	-132	-23	-42%	-43%
Out of Country	0	0	20	5	20	5	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Total	736	119	570	98	-166	-21	-23%	-18%

Summer 2020 did not code for Non-Resident: Out of Country.

Source: FHDA IRP

- Student Ethnicity Headcount and Est FTES
 - Headcount experienced the greatest decline among White (-608), Asian (-420), and Latinx (-360) students between Summer 2020 and Summer 2021.
 - No student ethnicity groups increased in headcount between Summer 2020 and Summer 2021 except for Decline to State (+4).
 - The 16% decrease in est FTES from Summer 2020 and Summer 2021 is primarily due to decline among Asians (-13%) and White (-21%) students.

**Table 6. Student Ethnicity Headcount and FTES
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

Ethnicity	2020		2021		Change		% Change	
	Headcount	Est FTES						
African American	333	62	313	56	-20	-6	-6%	-10%
Asian	3,525	566	3,105	493	-420	-73	-12%	-13%
Filipinx	447	76	399	64	-48	-12	-11%	-16%
Latinx	1,847	302	1,487	245	-360	-57	-19%	-19%
Native American	32	5	30	4	-2	-1	-6%	-20%
Pacific Islander	97	16	87	15	-10	-1	-10%	-6%
White	2,787	423	2,179	335	-608	-88	-22%	-21%
Decline to State	372	42	376	44	4	2	1%	5%
Total	9,440	1,492	7,976	1,256	-1,464	-236	-16%	-16%

Filipinx and Latinx are gender neutral terms.

Source: FHDA IRP

- Student Ethnicity Headcount as Percent of Total Population
 - Asian students were the main group to increase in their representation within the total population (+2%).
 - Despite the decrease in headcount, African American, Filipinx, and Pacific Islander rate of representation within the total student body population did not change (0%).
 - Latinx and White students decreased as a rate of the total student population (Latinx: -1%; White: -2%).

**Table 7. Student Ethnicity Headcount as a Percent of Total
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

Ethnicity	2020		2021		Change	
	Headcount	Percent	Headcount	Percent	Headcount	Percent
African American	333	4%	313	4%	-20	0%
Asian	3,525	37%	3,105	39%	-420	2%
Filipinx	447	5%	399	5%	-48	0%
Latinx	1,847	20%	1,487	19%	-360	-1%
Native American	32	0%	30	0%	-2	0%
Pacific Islander	97	1%	87	1%	-10	0%
White	2,787	30%	2,179	27%	-608	-2%
Decline to State	372	4%	376	5%	4	1%
Total	9,440	100%	7,976	100%	-1,464	0%

Filipinx and Latinx are gender neutral terms.

Source: FHDA IRP

- Zip Code Grouping
 - Headcount continued to decline from the Service Area (-434), including “Service Area: Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part), San Jose (part), Saratoga (part)” (-284) and “Service Area: Los Altos, Los Altos Hills, Mountain View, Palo Alto, Stanford” (-150).
 - Both Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties experienced a decline in enrollment between Summer 2020 and Summer 2021 (SCC: -615; SMC: -175).
 - “South Santa Clara County: SJ West 880/101” had the largest decrease in headcount outside of the service area (-84).

**Table 8. Student Headcount by Zip Code Grouping
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

Zip Code Grouping	2020	2021	Change	% Change
	HC	HC	HC	HC
Service Area: Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford	1,267	1,117	-150	-12%
Service Area: Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part), San Jose (part), Saratoga (part)	1,228	944	-284	-23%
S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101)	988	904	-84	-9%
E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/101)	758	697	-61	-8%
W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of))	217	194	-23	-11%
Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy)	75	62	-13	-17%
S Peninsula (Atherton, Belmont, E PA, Foster City, Menlo Park, Redwood City, San Carlos, San Mateo)	893	731	-162	-18%
W Peninsula (El Granada, Half Moon Bay, La Honda, Ladera, Montara, Moss Beach, Pacifica, Pescadero, Portola Valley, Woodside)	94	101	7	7%
N Peninsula (Brisbane, Burlingame, Colma, Daly City, Hillsborough, Millbrae, San Bruno, S SF)	98	78	-20	-20%
S Alameda County (Fremont, Newark, Union City)	416	415	-1	0%
Alameda County (rest of)	323	329	6	2%
San Francisco County	164	133	-31	-19%
N Santa Cruz County (Ben Lomand, Boulder Creek, Felton, Santa Cruz, Scotts Valley)	68	50	-18	-26%
Other Bay Area Region	404	337	-67	-17%
All Other Areas	2,447	1,884	-563	-23%
Total	9,440	7,976	-1,464	-16%

Source: FHDA IRP

- Zip Code Grouping as Percent of Total Headcount
 - As a percentage of total headcount, students from the service area remained flat from 26% to 26%. Students from the service area represent slightly more than one-fourth of the headcount for Summer 2021.
 - All zip code groupings remained consistent or increased slightly as a percentage of total headcount from Summer 2020 to Summer 2021.

**Table 9. Zip Code Grouping as a Percent Change of Total Headcount
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

Zip Code Grouping	2020		2021	
	HC	% Total	HC	% Total
Service Area: Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford	1,267	13%	1,117	14%
Service Area: Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part), San Jose (part), Saratoga (part)	1,228	13%	944	12%
S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101)	988	10%	904	11%
E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/101)	758	8%	697	9%
W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of))	217	2%	194	2%
Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy)	75	1%	62	1%
S Peninsula (Atherton, Belmont, E PA, Foster City, Menlo Park, Redwood City, San Carlos, San Mateo)	893	9%	731	9%
W Peninsula (El Granada, Half Moon Bay, La Honda, Ladera, Montara, Moss Beach, Pacifica, Pescadero, Portola Valley, Woodside)	94	1%	101	1%
N Peninsula (Brisbane, Burlingame, Colma, Daly City, Hillsborough, Millbrae, San Bruno, S SF)	98	1%	78	1%
S Alameda County (Fremont, Newark, Union City)	416	4%	415	5%
Alameda County (rest of)	323	3%	329	4%
San Francisco County	164	2%	133	2%
N Santa Cruz County (Ben Lomand, Boulder Creek, Felton, Santa Cruz, Scotts Valley)	68	1%	50	1%
Other Bay Area Region	404	4%	337	4%
All Other Areas	2,447	26%	1,884	24%
Total	9,440	100%	7,976	100%

Source: FHDA IRP

- Zip Code Grouping within Santa Clara County among African Americans, Filipinx, Latinx Students
 - African American, Filipinx, and Latinx headcount decreased by 89 students.
 - African Americans experienced the largest headcount decrease from the immediate service area “Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford” (-7; 32 to 25).
 - Latinx experienced the largest headcount decrease from “Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part of), San Jose (part of), Saratoga (part of)” (-57; 150 to 93).

**Table 10. Headcount among Disproportionately Impacted Groups within Santa Clara County
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

		2020	2021	Change	% Change
Ethnicity	Zip Code Grouping	HC	HC	HC	HC
African American	Service Area 1 (Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part of), San Jose (part of), Saratoga (part of))	18	16	-2	-11%
	Service Area 2 (Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford)	32	25	-7	-22%
	S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101)	43	54	11	26%
	E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/101)	22	20	-2	-9%
	W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of))	7	2	-5	-71%
	Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy)	4	7	3	75%
African American Total		126	124	-2	-2%
Filipinx	Service Area 1 (Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part of), San Jose (part of), Saratoga (part of))	19	19	0	0%
	Service Area 2 (Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford)	18	29	11	61%
	S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101)	59	55	-4	-7%
	E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/101)	92	66	-26	-28%
	W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of))	4	12	8	200%
	Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy)	4	5	1	25%
Filipinx Total		196	186	-10	-5%
Latinx	Service Area 1 (Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part of), San Jose (part of), Saratoga (part of))	150	93	-57	-38%
	Service Area 2 (Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford)	169	158	-11	-7%
	S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101)	256	270	14	5%
	E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/101)	158	147	-11	-7%
	W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of))	37	33	-4	-11%
	Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy)	28	20	-8	-29%
Latinx Total		798	721	-77	-10%
Total		1,120	1,031	-89	-8%

Filipinx and Latinx are gender neutral terms.
Source: FHDA IRP

- Headcount by Top 10 Enrollment by County
 - While Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties had the highest enrollment by headcount, these two counties also had the largest decrease in headcount (-797) from Summer 2020 and Summer 2021.
 - Together Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties represent over half of total headcount in Summer 2020 (60%) and Summer 2021 (61%).
 - Alameda County had the largest headcount increase from 739 to 744 (+5). All other top 10 counties by enrollment experienced a decrease in headcount.
 - Santa Clara County had the largest headcount decrease from 4,533 to 3,915 (-618).

**Table 11. Top 10 Enrollment by County
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

Rank	Zip Code Grouping	2020	2021	Change	% Change
		HC	HC	HC	HC
1	Santa Clara	4,533	3,915	-618	-14%
2	San Mateo	1,106	927	-179	-16%
3	Alameda	739	744	5	1%
4	Los Angeles	516	361	-155	-30%
5	Contra Costa	242	210	-32	-13%
6	San Diego	239	164	-75	-31%
7	Orange	236	155	-81	-34%
8	San Luis Obispo	214	150	-64	-30%
9	San Francisco	164	133	-31	-19%
10	Sacramento	142	119	-23	-16%
	Total	8,131	6,878	-1,253	-15%

Total Summer 2020 HC=9,440 | Top 10 Summer 2020 represents 86% of total headcount

Total Summer 2021 HC=7,976 | Top 10 Summer 2021 represents 86% of total headcount

Source: FH IRP

- African American Headcount by County
 - Santa Clara County increased in African American representation from Summer 2020 to Summer 2021 from 38% to 40% among African American total headcount.
 - Among the top 10 counties for African American headcount, there was a 6% decrease in headcount between Summer 2020 and Summer 2021 (-21 students).
 - Among the top 10 counties for African American headcount, Los Angeles and Sacramento Counties experienced the largest headcount decrease (LAC: -9; SC: -9).

**Table 12. Top 10 African American Enrollment by County
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

Rank	Zip Code Grouping	2020	2021	Change	% Change
		HC	HC	HC	HC
1	Santa Clara	126	124	-2	-2%
2	Alameda	42	39	-3	-7%
3	San Mateo	34	39	5	15%
4	Los Angeles	25	16	-9	-36%
5	Sacramento	16	7	-9	-56%
6	San Bernardino	14	13	-1	-7%
7	Contra Costa	11	9	-2	-18%
8	San Francisco	7	9	2	29%
9	San Joaquin	6	5	-1	-17%
10	San Diego	5	4	-1	-20%
	Total	286	265	-21	-7%

Total Summer 2020 African American HC=333 | Top 10 Summer 2020 African American represents 86% of total headcount
 Total Summer 2021 African American HC=313 | Top 10 Summer 2021 African American represents 85% of total headcount

Source: FH IRP

- Latinx Headcount by County
 - Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties represent over half of Latinx headcount (Summer 2020: 65%; Summer 2021: 68%).
 - Among the top 10 counties for Latinx headcount, Santa Clara, San Mateo, and Los Angeles Counties experienced the largest headcount decrease (-250).
 - Among the top 10 counties for Latinx headcount, Alameda and San Luis Obispo Counties experienced an increase in students from Summer 2020 to Summer 2021 (AC: +2; SLOC: +1).

**Table 13. Top 10 Latinx Enrollment by County
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

		2020	2021	Change	% Change
Rank	Zip Code Grouping	HC	HC	HC	HC
1	Santa Clara	799	723	-76	-10%
2	San Mateo	407	281	-126	-31%
3	Los Angeles	107	59	-48	-45%
4	Alameda	90	92	2	2%
5	San Diego	42	29	-13	-31%
6	Orange	32	18	-14	-44%
7	Riverside	32	18	-14	-44%
8	Contra Costa	28	18	-10	-36%
9	San Luis Obispo	27	28	1	4%
10	San Joaquin	27	14	-13	-48%
	Total	1,591	1,280	-311	-20%

Total Summer 2020 Latinx HC=1,847 | Top 10 Summer 2020 Latinx represents 86% of total headcount
 Total Summer 2021 Latinx HC=1,487 | Top 10 Summer 2021 Latinx represents 86% of total headcount

Source: FH IRP

- First Generation Status
 - First generation students decreased from 1,723 to 1,434 between Summer 2020 and Summer 2021 (-289). This decrease represents a -17% in headcount.

**Table 14. First Generation Status
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

	2020		2021		Change	% Change
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent		
First Generation	1,723	19%	1,434	19%	-289	-17%
Non First Generation	7,372	81%	6,218	81%	-1,154	-16%
Total	9,095	100%	7,652	100%	-1,443	-16%

NCES defines first generation as a student whose parent(s) completed high school or less.

Only reports those who responded to the questions.

Unknown First Generation HC: Summer 2020 - 345; Summer 2021 - 324

Source: FH IRP

- First Generation by Ethnicity
 - Latinx and Asians represent the majority of first generation students (Summer 2020: 77%; Summer 2021: 76%).
 - Latinx and Asian students experienced the greatest decrease in their first generation headcount (-234).
 - First generation Pacific Islander students increased their headcount (20 to 23).

**Table 15. First Generation by Ethnicity
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

Ethnicity	2020		2021		Change	% Change
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent		
African American	80	5%	76	5%	-4	-5%
Asian	502	29%	437	30%	-65	-13%
Filipinx	35	2%	29	2%	-6	-17%
Latinx	832	48%	663	46%	-169	-20%
Native American	3	0%	4	0%	1	33%
Pacific Islander	20	1%	23	2%	3	15%
White	231	13%	183	13%	-48	-21%
Decline to State	20	1%	19	1%	-1	-5%
Total	1,723	100%	1,434	100%	-289	-17%

NCES defines first generation as a student whose parent(s) completed high school or less.

Only reports those who responded to the questions.

Summer 2020 HC: 9,440 | Summer 2021 HC: 7,976

Source: FH IRP

- First Generation Headcount as a Percent of Disproportionately Impacted Student Groups
 - African American and Latinx first generation headcount decreased by 173 between Summer 2020 to Summer 2021.
 - There was no change in the first generation rate among African Americans, Filipinx, and Latinx populations.
 - First generation students represent almost half of Latinx population (47%).

**Table 16. First Generation DI Groups as a Percent of DI Group
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

	2020		2021		Change	% Rate Change
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent		
African American First Gen	80	26%	76	26%	-4	0%
African American Total	311		288		-23	
Filipinx First Gen	35	8%	29	8%	-6	0%
Filipinx Total	440		382		-58	
Latinx First Gen	832	47%	663	47%	-169	0%
Latinx Total	1,759		1,415		-344	

NCES defines first generation as a student whose parent(s) completed high school or less.
Total headcounts includes non-first generation and unknown generation students.
Source: FH IRP

- Income
 - 337 fewer students reported a low family income (-19%) between Summer 2020 and Summer 2021.
 - Over three-fourths of students reported a family income of \$25,001 and above (Summer 2021: 82%).

**Table 17. Self-Reported Family Income
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

	2020		2021		Change	% Change
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent		
\$25,000 and below (low income)	1,756	19%	1,419	18%	-337	-19%
\$25,001 and above (not low income)	7,595	81%	6,462	82%	-1,133	-15%
Total	9,351	100%	7,881	100%	-1,470	-16%

Question is stated, "What is your family income from the past year? Family income would include the income of you and family members living with you from all sources including jobs as well as other sources such as rents, welfare payments, social security, or even interest from stocks, bonds, savings."

Only reports those who responded to the question. Unknown Income HC: Summer 2020 - 89; Summer 2021 - 95
Source: FH IRP

- Low Income by Ethnicity
 - Low income Latinx represent around one-third of low income students (Summer 2020: 30%; Summer 2021: 32%). This rate increased by 2-percentage points between Summer 2020 and Summer 2021.
 - Asian and White students represent over half of low income students (Summer 2020: 61%; Summer 2021: 63%), reflecting an increase of 2-percentage points from Summer 2020 to Summer 2021.

**Table 18. Self-Reported Low Family Income by Ethnicity
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

Ethnicity	2020		2021		Change	% Change
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent		
African American	135	8%	125	9%	-10	-7%
Asian	545	31%	438	31%	-107	-20%
Filipinx	70	4%	66	5%	-4	-6%
Latinx	531	30%	450	32%	-81	-15%
Native American	13	1%	10	1%	-3	-23%
Pacific Islander	18	1%	17	1%	-1	-6%
White	419	24%	290	20%	-129	-31%
Decline to State	25	1%	23	2%	-2	-8%
Total	1,756	100%	1,419	100%	-337	-19%

Question is stated, "What is your family income from the past year? Family income would include the income of you and family members living with you from all sources including jobs as well as other sources such as rents, welfare payments, social security, or even interest from stocks, bonds, savings."

Low income=\$25,000 and below | Only reports those who responded to the question.

Summer 2020 HC: 9,440 | Summer 2021 HC: 7,976

Source: FH IRP

- Low Income Headcount as a Percent of Disproportionately Impacted Student Groups
 - Overall, low income students represented at least one-third of all African American and Latinx respectively (40% and 31%).
 - Fewer African Americans reported being low income from Summer 2020 to Summer 2021, reflecting a 1-percentage point decline (HC: -10).

**Table 19. Self-Reported Low Family Income DI Groups as a Percent of DI Group
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

	2020		2021		Change	% Rate Change
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent		
African American Low Income	135	41%	125	40%	-10	-1%
African American Total	326		310		-16	
Filipinx Low Income	70	16%	66	17%	-4	1%
Filipinx Total	447		392		-55	
Latinx Low Income	531	29%	450	31%	-81	2%
Latinx Total	1,824		1,467		-357	

Question is stated, "What is your family income from the past year? Family income would include the income of you and family members living with you from all sources including jobs as well as other sources such as rents, welfare payments, social security, or even interest from stocks, bonds, savings."

Low income=\$25,000 and below | Only reports those who responded to the question.

Source: FH IRP

- Pell Grant
 - A minority of students are awarded a Pell grant (3%) and this rate declined between Summer 2020 and Summer 2021 (-44%).

**Table 20. Pell Grant Status
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021**

	2020		2021		Change	% Change
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent		
Pell Grant Award	369	4%	206	3%	-163	-44%
No Pell Grant Award	9,071	96%	7,770	97%	-1,301	-14%
Total	9,440	100%	7,976	100%	-1,464	-16%

Source: FH IRP

- Pell Grant by Ethnicity
 - Latinx students represent the largest percentage of Pell grant recipients (Summer 2020: 33%; Summer 2021: 32%).
 - White students represented at least one-fifth of Pell grant recipients (Summer 2021: 22%).
 - Decreases among Pell grant recipients occurred for all ethnicities with the largest headcount decreases among Latinx (-56), Asian (-36), and White (-34) students.

Table 21. Pell Grant Recipients by Ethnicity
Summer 2020 and Summer 2021

Ethnicity	2020		2021		Change	% Change
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent		
African American	41	11%	29	14%	-12	-29%
Asian	88	24%	52	25%	-36	-41%
Filipinx	15	4%	7	3%	-8	-53%
Latinx	122	33%	66	32%	-56	-46%
Native American	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%
Pacific Islander	3	1%	2	1%	-1	-33%
White	80	22%	46	22%	-34	-43%
Decline to State	18	5%	2	1%	-16	-89%
Total	369	100%	206	100%	-163	-44%

Pell Award recipients represent 4% of Summer 2020 and 3% of Summer 2021.
 Summer 2020 HC: 9,440 | Summer 2021 HC: 7,976
 Source: FH IRP

- Applicants
 - Close to half of applicants for Summer 2021 enrolled (46%).

Table 21. Applicants and Enrollees
Summer 2021

Students	2021
Applicants	9,692
Registered	4,447
% Registered	46%

Note: Includes students who applied and enrolled for the same quarter.
 Source: CCCApply and FHDA IRP