

Academic Senate Meeting July 18, 2023

Meeting called to order at 2:05 p.m.

Voltaire Villanueva (1943)
Robert Cormia (1943)
Patrick Morriss (1943)
Mona Rawal (1943)
Allison Meezan (1943)
Jordan Fong (1943)
Elaine Kuo (1943)

Stephanie Chan online
Lauri Scolari (online, on campus)
Fatima Jinna online
Nicole Nguyen online

Agenda was adopted by consensus

Consent calendar - we need to add the summer cabinet to the consent calendar. The consent calendar was adopted by consensus (Morriss, Fong)

Laurie Scolari - Guided Pathways Nova Work Plan - Fatima is the new GP facilitator. Laurie is presenting a version of the plan, and would appreciate feedback within a week to get edits and submitted. Next round of funding for faculty to participate in guided pathways work, we're in the beginning part of the second 5-year period. The report is asking what is our work around equity, and about retention. Laurie mentioned that we don't have an updated master plan to feed data into the report.

Stephanie commented that the GP report feels a bit like a draft. Laurie commented that we get the funding no matter what. Laurie commented that the report isn't high-stakes, and we should do the best that we can. Cormia asked about the education master plan, Elaine Kuo clarified that we need to update the current education master plan. Elaine commented that we're also working on the mission statement, work that will be continuing into fall. The bulk of our narrative, shared in the ICER, is that we're continuing work on the mission statement, and we're working on the master plan from September 2023, and working all the way through the end of calendar year 2024. We're preparing to show the accreditation team in a fall 2024 effort. Alison commented that the report currently reads very much like a draft, and as a public facing document, we should have a document that represents the College (publicly) in the best way.

Voltaire commented that the document (report) reads in a very "deficit" minded way. As an example, the observation that many students don't know they have to apply for graduation. Voltaire commented that there are also human resource and capital (budget issues). Stephanie commented that there are issues to address, but how far do we dive into weeds, and how do we

word “deficit” issues? Fatima commented that the document is more reflective than public facing. Again, there was a reminder that our funding isn’t dependent on the document. Patrick added that it was clear that this was a reflective document, and complemented anonymous authors for accuracy in some reflective comments. Patrick commented further that the insights in the math department are growing in an equity transformative manner, and respectively ask for some shelter to give an organic process the time that it needs to happen. How has the College moved, as a whole, on initiatives like the zero textbook cost.

Voltaire asked if the College has a continuous improvement plan, and then commented that the program review process has that built into it. Voltaire asserted that we may have that, but also that he will request feedback from faculty, but the document is due next week. Laurie commented that there was a transition in leadership in the process, Fatima has joined, but as a result we had to ask the Chancellor’s office for an extension. Patrick commented this was a very big effort, with only 3 weeks, agreed that we have a continuous improvement structure, we can call it program review, and build on some efforts in progress. Fatima commented that in the past these reports weren’t run through Academic Senate, but (and) perhaps this effort should be run through Academic Senate, and find an organized way to factor in AS.

Voltaire asked Laurie what the timeline is, but if we have three weeks to work on it (cabinet and administrators) when does the Academic Senate meet again, or how do we confer. Voltaire expressed a “little” frustration about the 11th hour request for feedback. Voltaire if we need regular updates from GP during our Senate meetings. Elaine asked how we encourage the entire College to interact with the document, so we can work together in an inclusive process.

There was discussion about the process of routing GP documents through the College, and we recently added (Fatima) to the GP process. (Amy?) Leonard was the previous lead in the process. Jordan commented that we get regular reports from Guided Pathways, but the content wasn’t always (organized). Mona commented that there were some people that didn’t believe in the Guided Pathways effort. Mona asserted that if we don’t believe in it as an organization, how are we going to get anything done?

Flex day discussion - a day sandwiched between District Opening Day and College Opening Day. There will be a day in August to plan on flex day. There was discussion about COR work and other learning. Cormia asked that we plan to have Zoom support for any flex-day activities.

Stephanie Chan asked what the role of the Academic Senate is in shaping the flex-day activities. Stephanie wanted to assure that the flex-day be faculty driven. Patrick suggested that Voltaire could introduce Stephanie and (Carrie) at flex day (I missed a bit about what this was about). Stephanie mentioned the work of SLOs and how to integrate that into the discussion. Voltaire committed to updating the faculty on any work that comes across his plate.

Cormia talked about the swimming pool replacement, and the need to move to a natural gas free future. Allison did an update about RSI. RSI will need to be a negotiated issue, with the

Faculty Union, because it's a workload issue. Allison also talked about opening day and department meetings, and that we should take the time for RSI.

Meeting was adjourned at 3:40 p.m.